

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CITAL	D SIAILS DELAKIMENT OF	COMMINICA
United	States Patent and Trademark	Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT	S
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	www.usnto.gov	

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,798	10/633,798 08/04/2003		Joseph Michael Christie	1057p	7235
28004	7590	04/05/2006	·	EXAMINER	
SPRINT			PATEL, AJIT		
	INT PARK\ 0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-210		KS 66251-2100		2616	
				DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/633,7	98	CHRISTIE, JOSEPH MICHAE			
		Examine	•	Art Unit			
		AJIT G. F		2664			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. atutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 August 200</i>	3.				
2a)□	·	2b)⊠ This action is					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	<i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	ipplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				•		
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b	objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	<u>.</u>		-	, ,		
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).	·		
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	0,					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received in Applicat	tion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docum	ents have been receiv	ed in this National	l Stage		
	application from the Internatio	· · · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` ''				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cert	fied copies not receive	ed.	• .		
	•						
Attoch	ele)						
Attachmen 1) X Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		_ Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	27,247		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-pages</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/633,798 Page 2

Art Unit: 2664

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1,8,11 and 18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,4,11,14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,340. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious to one skilled in the art to replace a service platform with a communication system since they perform the similar functions. The following is the comparison between the claims in the instant application and the claims in the patent. A processing system --- for routing information is the same as a signaling processor --- for routing. A communication system ---processing system is the same as a service platform --- the communications include the identifier of claims 1 and 4. Same comparison is also applied to claims 11 and 18 with claims 11 and 14.

Art Unit: 2664

3. Claims 1,3,9,11,13 and 19 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,5,8,10,14,17,19,23,26,28,32 and 35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,294. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because replacing the user communication with narrowband communication signal and signaling with SS7 or Q.931 would have been obvious to one skilled in the art. The following is the comparison between the claims in the instant application with the claims in the patent. A processing system --- packet routing information recited in claims 1 and 11 is the same as a second signal component ---a signaling message recited in claims 1,10,19,28; a communication system --- the processing system recited in claims 1 and 11 is the same wherein --- the telecommunication signal recited in claims 1,10,19 and 28. The limitation in claim 3,13 is the same as in claims 5,14,23,32. The limitation in claims 9 and 19 is the same as claims 8,17,26,35.

NOTE: Similar rejection on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting is applied to Patent #s 6,631,133; 6,473,429 and 6,178,170. Please include the Patent #s 6,631,133; 6,473,429 and 6,178,170 in addition to the rejection made above in the terminal disclaimer.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AJIT G. PATEL whose telephone number is 571-272-3140. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajit Patel Primary Examiner

AP